G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

1923

CB

File No. 3/27

Serial Nos. 4

Reserve

Later references.

CB 3-23-1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 23
CB 3-23-2 5426
CB 3-23-31436
W 185-35-1
CB 3-23 Comptroller & Aud Gen 2nd August 1926.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

CB 1382-143
CB 17-38-1
CB 174-36-1
CB 83-39-1454

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for Dec 1923

SUBJECT

Allocation of Assistant Commissioners, Munsifs and Sub-Judges under the new scale of pay.

To

The Comptroller & Auditor Genl
The Financial Secretary to Govt
The Secretaries to Govt
The Heads of Departments
The Deputy Commrs of Dts

No. 1524-30 D 7, 6/11/23

Fixes the pay of Assistant Commissioners, Munsifs & Sub-Judges in the new grade

G. R. S. 59j

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1909
(Magrai)

File No. 152 09

Serial Nos. 3 & 4

Papers Filed.

Month Febry 1910

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the purchase of 5 chatties for the use of certain temples in the Bangalore Dists

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

THE NEXT
For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 116
1923-24

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause v of the schedule)

Fixing the pay of the several Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Sub Judges in the revised scale sanctioned in G.O. No.17-61/C.B.98-18-23 dated 3rd July 1923.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

.....17th September 1923.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Third Member of Council.

REPLY.

(To be written on this margin.)

20122
29.8.23.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE.

Dated.....29th August.....1923.

There was a paragraph in the MADRAS MAIL about the Madras Civil Service Improvements, especially with reference to the Assistant Collectors. When will the question of increments here be settled?

29-8

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
with compliments.

Dewan

G. R. S. 11] 1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
C.B

NOTES.

File No. 5-25

FILE HEADING.

Allocation of Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs, and Sub-Judges under the new Scale of pay

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos. Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

C2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

The question of giving effect to the revised scales of pay of Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs & Sub Judges sanctioned in G.O.No.17-61/C.B.98-18-33 dated 3rd July 1923 and of allocating the officers under the revised scale is now for consideration.

The order referred to above directs the revision of the grade with effect from the financial year 1923-24, i.e., from 1st July 1923. It could hardly be the intention of Govt. that this should be interpreted to mean that every officer should put in one year of service from 1st July 1923 to get his next increment in the new grade and another year to get the one next to that and so on, neglecting all his past service from consideration. ~~This would result in much hardship and unfairness.~~ The very fact that Rs.15,000 has been provided in the budget for 1923-24 for the reorganisation of the Civil Service indicates that the above could not be the intention of Government, for if it were so, no budget provision would have been necessary at all.

It is therefore necessary to fix the initial salaries and the dates of next increment of all the officers under the revised scale. The most usual ~~and proper~~ principle to adopt in such an allocation is to give starting salaries and future increments as if the revised scale had been in force from the date of confirmation of the several officers without giving any arrears pay. Under this method of calculation every officer will keep his rank and reap the benefit of his service to a day. In fact this principle has been more or less the basis of reallocation in almost every revision of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

salaries in or outside Mysore. It was the guiding principleof the reallocation of the Engineer officers in Mysore after the reorganisation of P.W.D. in 1915. In British India they went a long step further and fixed the initial salaries of their Engineer Officers after their P.W.D. reorganisation not, as in Mysore, from the date of confirmation as Assistant Engineer o Executive Engineer, but from the date of their appoin ment to the service itself. The same principle referred to above, appears also to have been adopted in the reallocation of Sub Assistant Surgeons in G.O.No. 22068-9 dated 21st May 1921.

The order of the 3rd July 1923 sanctioning the r vision explicitly mentions the fact that the object o the revision is to enable Assistant Commissioners to reach Rs.450 in ten years from the date of their appointment or in other words, in 8 years from the date of their confirmation, leaving aside special cases; a this intention will not be realised unless the initia salary of the officers on the 1st July 1923 is fixed at what it would have been if the revised scale had been in effect on the dates of their appointment or confirmation. Considering also that the original sca of pay of Assistant Commissioners has got reduced at every previous revision, and the equally important fact that the present revision of the salaries of Assistant Commissioners differs from the revision of sa aries of most other services (even not excepting the present revision of the scale of Munsiffs) in that t maximum and minimum of the grades have not been impro in the slightest degree, it seems that the principle

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. C. R. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

reallocation above referred to, which is adopted in the columns marked A in the annexed statement could be fairly applied in the case of the junior officers of the Civil service who have not yet reached the maximum pay of Rs.450.

Calculation A shows the cost of giving starting salaries and future increments as if the revised scale had been in force on the dates of confirmation of the several officers. The net cost which would fall on the budget of 1923-24 if the revised salaries are given from 1st July 1923 is as shown in the margin. It will be observed that according to this calculation the budget estimate of 1923-24 of Rs.15,000 in the case of Assistant Commissioners would be exceed by about 10,000. But the extra cost in the case of Sub Judges and Munsiffs totalling Rs.14,487 can be met from the amount provided in the budget for opening new Civil Courts and giving additional establishments. The budget provision is Rs.20,000 (exclusive of Rs.5,000 for the establishment of a roving Court) and the calculation sheet placed below shows that, after meeting the actual cost for 10 months there will be a saving of Rs.14,358. There is however, as noted above, an excess of Rs.10,000 as far as the Assistant Commissioners are concerned. The principle upon which the reorganisation is to be effected should not however, be entirely dependent on the budget provision. The budget estimate is, at best, only an estimate; and it would not be desirable to allow the whole sanctioned reorganisation of the services and the emoluments of all its members for the rest of their careers

According to calculation 'A'

Asstt.Commrs.	Rs.	25,627
Sub Judges,	"	1,650
Munsiffs,	"	12,837

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. B. File No. 3. of 1923

Serial No.

Assistant Commissioners, can be taken up after fixing the salaries, etc. of the Civil Service Assistant Commissioners.

Of the two alternatives indicated above, I would earnestly urge that the one marked A in the statement be adopted. It has not been possible for Govt. to give effect to the original intention of raising the maximum pay of Assistant Commissioners. The reorganisation actually sanctioned is a very small measure of relief and it is not too much to ask that it may be given effect to in a generous manner.

The adoption of the other alternative (B), while not resulting in any actual disturbance of relative rank would still be anamolous as an officer who has put in three years service after confirmation would get less benefit from the reorganisation than one who has put in two or four years.

4/9

I do not think it is the intention of the order dated 3rd July 1923 to give Assistant Commissioners from 1st July 1923 the stages of pay to which they would have risen had the new rate of annual increments been in force from the time they were appointed to their posts. The object of the order is to improve the future emoluments of the service and not

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

to

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. S. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

to afford compensation for the less favourable rate of increments allowed under the previous arrangement. The application of the principle of compensation in fixing the initial pay of the officers in drafting them into the new scale of pay is not, therefore, supported by authority and the acceptance of any such principle will be found, in practice, to be very inconvenient. For this reason, I am unable to support the first alternative suggested above.

The second alternative is not uniform in its operation, as unequal values are placed on the different periods of service of the same officer and on the services of different officers. I may make this point clear by one or two illustrations: Number 1 on the list reached his present stage of pay on 1st August 1921 and on 1st July 1923 he had put in a service of 1 year and 11 months. The proposal is to give him one increment on 1st July 1923 and ask him to wait for the next increment for one more year from that date. Here, one year of this officer's service rendered prior to July 1923 is given full value and one increment allowed in respect of it but no value is placed on the rest of his service of 11 months. Number 9 on the list reached his present stage of pay on 1st February 1923. This officer would get his next increment according to the new grade of pay on 1st February 1924

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

and

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.B File No. 3 of 1927.

Serial No.

and the result is that his services prior to and subsequent to July 1923 are considered as of equal value for earning increment.

The question to be considered is how should the service of these officers rendered in the interval between the date of reaching present stage of pay and 30th June 1923 be treated for counting it for increments under the new order; or in other words, what value should be attached to such previous service for this particular purpose. According to the old grade, an increment of Rs.50 was being allowed once in three years while according to the new arrangement an increment of Rs.25 is allowed every year. Overlooking the feature of the old arrangement that the annual increase of Rs.16 2/3 was being allowed in arrears at the end of one, two and three years, the value of the service rendered prior to 1st July 1923 in relation to that rendered after that date may be taken to be in the proportion of 16 2/3 : 25, i.e., 2:3. I would, therefore, suggest that 2/3rds of the service rendered under the old order be counted for increments under the new order. The calculation of the 2/3rds part of service may be simplified by ignoring the number of days and rounding off the number of months in favour of the officers as indicated in the appended statement giving a few cases to show how

S

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B File No. 3 of 1923

Serial No.

how this proposal works.

According to this proposal such of the officers as have put in less than one year of the rated servic will have to render service for the resp of the perio to make up one year for getting the next increment of Rs.25; such of them as have rendered more than a yea will get one increment from 1st July 1923 and reckon for the next increment the period in excess of one year. Where the rated previous service is exactly two years, two increments would be allowable. In the case of Sub-Judges who were under the old rules, entitled to get Rs.50 once in every two years, ~~kali~~ their previous service may be counted for increments under the new order. I think this arrangement is very fair as it treats the previous service alike in the case of all officers and assigns to such service as much value as may reasonably be given to it.

Mull 8/9/23

Recommended calculation in Board's Summary instruction

MMA

Statement enclosed.

Amt 12.9.23.

a statement has been prepared to the financial results of the scheme

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 3 of 1973.

Serial No.

proposed by the Trustees. Should be inserted the ultra custom amending Mott Cons. under B 9185 & pn Rut Gardens & Municip B 3641.

by
1978

Secy.

Maybe considered at meeting of the Council

by
16.7

minute (Municipal Affairs pn next mtg)

by
1979

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1)

Extract from the Proceedings of the ..... *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 20th. September 1923.

69. Subject. - Clause v of the schedule.

Fixing the pay of the several Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Sub Judges, in the revised scale sanctioned in Government Order No.17-61/C.B.98-18-33 dated 3rd July 1923.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The service for purpose of increment under the new scale may be calculated on the principle suggested by the Financial Secretary with the modification that the scale may be deemed to have been introduced from 1st July 1922, but with no claim to back pay.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 433—GPB—1,000—1-9-20

G. R. S. 12]

W D 473—GPB—1,000—12-9-18

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191·

Fixing the pay of the Asst Comrs, Munsiffs & Sub Judges in the new Grades

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary to Government, under paragraph 9.* of the Government Order No. G. 17572-8—G. M. 676-17-1, dated 22nd June 1918.

A Statement showing the cost involved by the proposals as per Council Resolution is put up.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

25/9/23

Manuscript. to D.M. 25/9

Fair Copy Sent

27/9/23 Dewan

25/9

is revised

1/10

*9. (*a*) If the views expressed by the Financial Secretary in any case, whether original or un-officially referred, are not accepted by the Member of Government in charge, or by the Dewan and Members of Council, as the case may be, the file containing the discussions shall be sent to the Financial Secretary a second time, in order to enable him, in cases in which he considers that the orders proposed to be issued are opposed to financial rules of Government or to recognised financial principles or for other reasons of a financial nature, are open to objection, to record a respectful representation to that effect, which will be placed by the Dewan before His Highness the Maharaja for consideration.

(*b*) All cases sent to the Financial Secretary under this rule should be treated as immediate and returned to the Department concerned within three days of receipt.

Submitted to His Highness.

In Government Oraer No. 17-61/C.B. 98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923, the scale of pay of the Assistant Commissioners. Munsiffs and Sub Judges has been revised as shown below with effect from the current official year.

	Old Scale	Revised Scale
Assistant Commissioners.	Rs250-50/3-450	Rs. 250-25-450
Munsiffs ...	" 150-200-50/3-400.	Rs. 200-25-450
Sub Judges...	" 500-50/2-600	Rs. 500-50-600

2. It is now necessary to pass orders allocating the several officers in the revised scale of pay and fixing their pay on 1st July 1923 and the dates from which they would be entitled to their next increments.

Pages 2 to 6 Office Note

3. The Chief Secretary in his note dated 4th September 1923 has submitted two alternative proposals for the allocation of these officers in the new grades.

4. Under the first proposal, the pay of each officer on the 1st July 1923 would be determined by the number of completed years of service from the date of his confirmation allowing Rs.25 for each year but without any claim to back pay. The details are contained in the portion marked "A" in statement 1. The extra cost involved during the current year is -

Assistant Commissioners	Rs. 25,627
Sub Judges	Rs. 1,650
Munsiffs	Rs. 12,837

This, however, involves an excess of Rs. 10,000 over the sanctioned grants in the budget for the revision of the Civil Service.

5. Under the alternative proposal, the period of service from the date of the last increment in the old scale is taken - instead of from the date of confirmation. The details are contained in the portion marked "B" in statement 1. The cost involved is-

Assistant Commissioners	.. Rs. 10,106
Munsiffs and Sub Judges	.. Rs. 4,519

Pages 6 to 9 of office Notes

6. The Financial Secretary in his note dated 8th September 1923 has suggested that in the case of Assistant Commissioners and Munsiffs, two-thirds of the period of their service from their last increment may be taken into account in fixing the pay under the new grades and half the period in the case of Sub Judges. The cost involved in the proposal is - Statement II

Assistant Commissioners ... Rs. 9,185

Sub Judges and Munsiffs ... Rs. 3,641

Page 11 of Office Notes

7. The subject was considered in Council at the meeting held on the 20th September 1923 when the following resolution was recorded:--

"The service for the purpose of increment under the new scale may be calculated on the principle suggested by the Financial Secretary with the modification that the scale may be deemed to have been introduced from 1st July 1922 but with no claim to back pay."

8. The principle suggested in the Financial Secretary's note is that, as,under the old scale,3 years were necessary for earning an increment of Rs. 50, while under the new scale only 2 years are required, service under the old scale should have only two-thirds value for counting increments in the new scale. This, no doubt,is strictly logical and correct, but such a rigorous principle has not been adopted in re-organizations in the past, e.g., of the Public Works Department. The reorganization of the Civil Service has been pending from 1919 and the proposal of the Council while following the principle proposed by the Financial Secretary in effect gives an advantage of four months to each officer who has not yet reached the maximum pay in calculating the date of increments.The cost during the current year is estimated at Rs. 13,635/- in the case of Assistant Commissioners and Rs. 6,216/- in the case of Munsiffs and Sub Judges, and is within the budget provision. ~~The recommendation of the Council may be graciously approved~~. Statement III

9. Proposals for fixing the pay under the new scale of the non-civilian Secretariat Officers and Assistant Comptrollers and

of

of officers who have been acting for long periods as Assistant Commissioners will be taken up after orders are passed on this file.

6 The resolution of the Council may be graciously approved. The principle suggested to be followed is the most equitable to all the officers and yet gives no undue advantage to any. It also gives the service some little satisfaction in view of past hopes and expectations which were not fulfilled since 1919.

Camp Devarayadrug,
28th September'23.

DKR.

(16

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Fixing the pay of the Assistant Commissioners. Munsiffs and Sub-Judges in the new grades.

259

Order.

~~KRW~~

3/10/23

5 OCT 1923

C17

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Pay & Allce Offr
Asst Deptl
Scale

Mr. Rajagopalachari

Mr. B. S. R. Rao

Work

29.6.23

Mr. Rao has been taken into account in the Cal calculation. He was appointed by Sub-Judge (Reg'r Ch Cl) from 8.8.22 and confirmed from 12-6-23. Thereon he will get 550 from 8.8.23. under the principle accepted. The extra cost is 487½ Rs Monthly

In this case of Mr. Satyajit Rao who is getting 550 from 23.11.21, there will be a slight change

Mr. B.S.R. Rao's case has been referred separately.
Asst 5.10.23

Mr
1/10/23

Mr. B.S.R. Rao's case is to be referred to Legal Adviser

D.J.D.

N. B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 1860—GPB—1,50,000—4-25-21

18)

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mr. A. Srinivasa Raghavachar has
hereby acted as Registrar, Chief Court from
30th Aug to 19th Dec 1921. If
that period of 3 m + 20 days is also
taken into account, he would
have on 1st July 1922, put
in 4 = 2 months service and he would
get 550 from ~~1st June 1922~~ 1st June 1923.
The period during which he acted
as first grade Magistrate is not
taken into account.

Submd.
GMA
12/10/23

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.



19

No. 3 of 19 23.

order is put up

file may be sent to the Comptroller for

favour of verifying the statement

Submitted for orders

Re. Mr. B.S. Raghavendra

Statement with

order q.o. sent to

Comptr for verification

on 13.10.23

Returned back on 20.10.23

to send again on

28.10.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Urgent & important

(20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. B. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

Pay & Asst. Commrs, Munsiffs & Sub Judges in the new grade

Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller for favour of verifying the pay on 1st July 1923 and the date of next increment of each officer under the scheme sanctioned in the draft Govt: order put up.

Incentive

No: 267 | Ests 8820: 10.23. Chief Secretary to Govt 13.10.23.

Verified and found correct, except items nos: 19 in the Asstt: Commissioners list and no: 4 in the Sub-judge's list. Necessary corrections have been made in red ink and the papers are returned with Compliments.

M. Viswaraja Urs
Asstt: Comptroller
20/10/23.

Item no 19 refers to Mr. G. Narayana Rao. last increment which was due on 16.1.21 was postponed by 6 months, i.e., he got Rs 300 on 16.7.21; but this will not affect his future increments under the old scale he is to get 350 on 16.1.24. So, it is proposed to give him 350 from 1.7.23 as in the case of others who got their last increment on 16.1.21.

(Comptroller's Correction 1.11.23)

No 4 in the Sub judge's list refers to Mr. Srinivasaraghavachar. Prior to 8th Aug 1922,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(21

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No 3 of 1923

Serial No.

he has acted once as Sub Judge for 3 months + 20 days from 30th Aug 1921 to 19th Dec 21. Taking credit for this period also, he will have to get 550 from 8th June 1923 Submitted for orders.

Asst. 29/10/23 Ch. Sy

Registration for 3 months + 19 days. Verified 29.10.23.

(Comptroller's correction 8th Aug 23)

In the case of Narayana Rao the date 1.7.23 appears to be correct the recommended agreeable to Krishnaraghavaiah's case by

Illegible

Forwarded, with compliments, to the Comptroller for favour of further remarks.

for Ch. Secy 28/10/23

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 2.6150 29 OCT 1923 BANGALORE

Rec. rec. 29/10 CB 28/10/23

In one file

No. 28/GAD 29/10/23

In the light of the above remarks, Sri Ry. Narayana Rao may draw Rs. 350 from 1.7.23 and Sri A. Srinivasa Raghavachar Rs. 550 from 8.6.1923 or more correctly from 1.7.23, in accordance with the first principle

M. Viraraja Ars Asstt. Comptroller

29.10.23.

1435

GEN. & REV. SECTT. CB Br. 30. OCT. 1923 G. D. SECTION

Ch. Sy

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

C116

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C.S. File No. 3 of 1923.

Serial No.

Memo. to be may be approved (Mr. B. S. Raghavendra Rao's case having separated submitted B.S.V. necessary corrections about him will be made when the proof is received from the Press)

by
1/11

Seen

may send original Sanction by the Navy

Secy
2/11

I have gone thro' the order which I think may issue-

Mme.
2.11.23

Issue - (Sent)

2/11

A corrected copy of the proof of the G.O. is put up. Mr. B.S. Raghavendra Rao's date of joint increment is shown as 1st January 1924, as approved by His Highness.

Submitted for kind perusal before giving final order.

Sent
10/11/23

Ch. Secy)

11/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. S. File No. 3 of 1923

Serial No.	
4	G.O. No 1521-70 (S) 6.11.23. Fixes the pay of Asst. Commrs, Munsiffs & Subordinate judges in the new grades

(b)

Fl. 8.6.23.	Vide Fl Desp. Concurrence to the Council Resolution at page 12 and the subsequent note (d 2-11-23) on page 12.
(a)	Draft of Fair copies endorsed for Communication are dated

Sd/- 16.11.23.

Sd/- 16/11/23

To Comptroller
Rc. DC 2667
17/11/23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Name.	Present pay.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Period of continuance on present pay.			2/3rds of column 4 rounded to the next number of divisible months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-1923.	Date of next increment.
1.	2.	3.	4.			5.	6.	7.
	Rs.		Yr.	Mths.	Dys.		Rs.	
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS.								
No. 1.	400	1-8-1921.	1	11	0	2/3 x 23 = 16.	425	1-3-1924.
" 9	350	1-2-1923	0	5	0	2/3 x 5 = 4.	350	1-3-1924.
" 10	350	10-2-1923	0	4	20	2/3 x 4 = 4	350	1-3-1924.
MUNSIFFS.								
No. 4	300	26-8-1920	2	10	5	2/3 x 34 = 24	350	1-7-1924.

S.O

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.

Diary No. of letter replied to... .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

Date of issue..... 6th Nov. 1923 .....

Signed by... Sri K.V. Anantaramam ...

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section C.B

File No. 3-23

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read—

G.O. no 17-61 | C.B. 98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923 ~~relating~~ revising the scale of pay of the Asst. Commrs, Munsiffs, and Sub Judges as shown below with effect from the year 1923-24

	old scale	Revised scale
Asst. Commrs	Rs 250-$\frac{50}{3}$-450	Rs 250-25-45
Munsiffs	Rs 150-200-$\frac{50}{3}$-400	200-25-45
Sub Judges	Rs 500-$\frac{50}{2}$-600	Rs 500-50-6

Order ~~Thereon~~ No. 1521-70/C.B. 3-23-4 dated 6th Nov. 1923.

Govt direct that in fixing the pay of the existing ~~above~~ officers under the revised scale the following principles be adopted.

(a) For fixing the pay of each officer on 1st July 1923, the revised scale of pay will be deemed to have been introduced from 1st July 1922, but no officer will be allowed to draw any arrears of pay under the above arrangement.

(b) In the case of Asst. Commrs. & Tehsildars, the service for the purpose of increment will be calculated at two-thirds of the period of their service on 1st July 1922 from the date of their last increment and in the case of Sub Judges at half the period.

List of enclosures.

A statement showing the pay to which the officers will be entitled on 1st July 1923 and the date on which they will get their next increment in accordance with the above principles is attached.

Copied by

3. Deducting the cost on account of the increments which would have fallen due to certain officers under the old scale in the year 1923-24, the nett cost

Examined by

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

T. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

during the current year will be
Rs. 13,635 for Asst. Comrs.
Rs 4966 for Munsiffs
& Rs 1850 for Sub Judges
It will be met from the
current years budget
provision of Rs. 15,000 ~~made~~ for
in
Reorganization under the
head of "I - Land Revenue"
in the case of Asst. Comrs. and
from the savings in the
current years budget provision
~~provision made~~ for the new
Civil Courts in the case of
Munsiffs & Sub Judges.

4. The cases of the non-civilian
Secretariat officers & Asst. Comptrollers
and of officers who have been
acting for long period as
Asst. Comrs. are reserved
for separate consideration.

2.11

Chief Secretary.

To
The Comptroller thro' Fin. Secy
Secretaries to Govt
Heads of Depts
& Comrs of Divns.

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

6.10.23.

Sl No	Name	Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay	As per pay calculated on the b	
					Period of completed service on 1-7-22.	2/3rdo of co roundod to Wo.of divisi months.
2		3	4	5	6	7
					y m. d.	
19.	Mr G.Narayana Rao	16-1-18	300 ✓	16-7-21 ✓	1. 5. 14 / 0-11-15.	12 × 2/3 =
20.	"M.Seshadri	16-2-19	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15	6 × 2/3 =
21.	" P.H.Krishna Rao	do	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15	
22.	" N.Sundarajiengar	do	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15	
23.	" T.V.A.Iswaran	do	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15	
24.	" M.Chamraj Urs	do	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15	
25.	" T.Abdul Khader	do	300 ✓	16-2-22 ✓	0. 4. 15.	
26.	" M.A.Srinivasan	18-1-20	300 ✓	18-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 12 ✓	30 × 2/3 =
27.	" S.Narayana Rao	do	300 ✓	18-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 12. ✓	
28.	" D.G.Subrayappa	21-1-20	300 ✓	21-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 9 ✓	
29.	" M.Abdul [illegible]	do	300 ✓	21-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 9 ✓	
30.	" J.Appaji Gowda	do	300 ✓	21-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 9 ✓	
31.	" M.Navanithan Naidu	21-1-20	300 ✓	21-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 9 ✓	
32.	" M.Viraraj Urs	21-1-20	300 ✓	21-1-23 ✓	2. 5. 9 ✓	
33.	" S.Ruben (P.P. Int. Amn)	9-2-20	300 ✓	9-2-23 ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	30 × 2/3 =
34.	" S.N.Srinarasimha Sastry.	do	do	do ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	
35.	" M.S.Ramachandra Rao,B.A.	"	"	" ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	
36.	" T.Hanumantha Rao	"	"	" ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	
37.	" R.A.Narasim Iyengar	"	"	" ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	
38.	" C.Lakshmana Rao	"	"	" ✓	2. 4. 21 ✓	
39.	" Abdul Aziz Khan	11-5-20	300	11-5-23 ✓	2. 1. 20. ✓	27 × 2/3 =
40.	" B.S.Raghavendra Rao	8-1-21	250 ✓	8-1-21 ✓	1. 5. 22 ✓	18 × 2/3 =
41.	" V.Vedavyasacharya	do	do	do ✓	1. 5. 22 ✓	
42.	" T.Ramaiya	do	do	do ✓	1. 5. 22 ✓	
43.	" G.Sundara Rao	2-7-21	do	2-7-21 ✓	0. 11. 29 ✓	12 × 2/3 =
44.	" E.V.Ganapati Iyer	19-1-22	do	19-1-22 ✓	0. 5. 11 ✓	6 × 2/3 =

ncil Resolution dated 20th Septr. 1923

e of number of years of service after last increment.

n 6 next	Date on which the increment fell due.	Pay on 1st July 1923.	Date of next increment.	Extra cost during 1923-24.
	8	9	10	11
		350	1.7.24	4 [illegible]
8	1.11.22	~~325~~	~~1.11.23~~	550
✓	1.3.23 ✓	325 ✓	1.3.24	25x8 / 50x3 } 350
✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	350
✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	350
✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	350
✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	350
✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	350
0 ✓	1.11.22 ✓ (2)	300 ✓	1.11.23	25x7 = 175
0 ✓	-do- ✓	300 ✓	-do-	175
0 ✓	-do- ✓	300 ✓	-do-	175
0 ✓	-do- ✓	300	-do-	175
0 ✓	-do- ✓	300 ✓	-do-	175
0 ✓	-do- ✓	300 ✓	-do-	175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	300 ✓	-do-	175
✓	1.11.22 ✓ (2)	300 ✓	1.11.23	25x7 = 175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	-do-	175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	-do-	175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	175
20 ✓	-do- ✓	-do- ✓	-do-	175
18 ✓	1.1.23 ✓	300 ✓	1.1.24	25x5 = 125
2 ✓	1.7.23 ✓ (2)	300	1.7.24	50x11 = 550
✓	-do- ✓	300	-do-	550
2 ✓	-do- ✓	300	-do-	550
8 ✓	1.11.22 ✓	275	1.11.23	25x4 / 50x7 } 450
4 ✓	1.3.23 ✓	275 ✓	1.3.24	25x8 / 50x3 } 350

12,1

No	Name	Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay	pay calculated on t: Period of completed service on 1-7-22.	2/3rds rounded No. of di months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
45.	Mr. Ismail Sheriff	19-1-22	250	19-1-22	0-5-11	6 x 2/3
46.	" K.Subba Rao	"	"	"	0-5-11	
47.	" M.Shamanna	"	"	"	0-5-11	
48.	" K.Guru Dutt	"	"	"	0-5-11	
49.	" Charles N@ronha	"	"	"	0-5-11	
50.	" R.P.Mallappa	"	"	"	0-5-11	
51.	" R.Puttaraj Urs	"	"	"	0-5-11	
52.	" C.M.H.Ranajodh Singh, B.A.	"	"	"	0-5-11	
53.	" P.Suryanarayana Setti.	"	"	"	0-5-11	

er Council Resolution dated 20th Septr. 1923

e basis of number of years of service after last increment.

column 6 the next isible	Date on which the increment fell due.	Pay on 1st July 1923.	Date of next increment.	Extra cost during 1923-24.
	8	9	10	11
4	1.3.23	275	1.3.24	25×8 = 200 50×3 = 150 350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
4	-do-	do	do	350
				15250
			Increment due in the current year under the old scheme	1615
			Net Extra cost:	13635

350

635

Sl. No.	Name.	Date of confirmation.	Present pay.	Date of promotion to present pay.
2		3	4	5
	SUB JUDGES (4)			
1.	Mr.G.Satyaji Rao ..	6-9-20	550	~~6-9-22~~ 23-11-21
2.	" S.Venkatakrishniya ..	26-11-20	550	26-11-22
3.	" P.Mahadevayya, ..	31-8-21	500	31-8-21
4.	" A.Srinivasaraghavachar	12-6-23	500	12-6-23 8-8-22
	MUNSIFFS.	(Confirmation on Rs.200)		
1.	" B.Ranga Iyengar,	5-1-12	350	5-1-21.
2.	" D.Alasingrachar	1-11-12	350	1-11-21.
3.	" N.G.Lakshminarasimha Iyengar,	21-8-13	350	21-8-22.
4.	" N.K.Srinivasa Rao	26-8-14	300	26-8-20.
5.	" H.M.Krishnaswami	28-8-14	300	28-8-20.
6.	" H.K.Veerabasavaiya	10-8-16	300	10-8-22.
7.	" S.A.Vaideeswara Iyer,	2-9-16.	300	2-9-22
8.	" C. Abdul Ghani,	24-5-17	300	24-5-23.
9.	" S.R.Ramaswami Iyer,	14-8-17	250	14-8-20.
10.	" T.Singaravelu Mudaliar	23-11-17	250	23-11-20.
11.	" C.B.Nagabhushana Rao,	21-10-18	250	21-10-21
12.	" S.Venkataranga Iyengar,	19-12-1	250	19-12-21.
13.	" C.Subramanya Iyer,	21-12-1	250	21-12-21.
14.	" S.Ramachandra Sastry,	1-11-1	250	1-11-22.
15.	" H.Nanjundiah,	17-11-1	250	17-11-22.
16.	" A.Sunararaja Rao,	20-12-1	250	20-12-22.
17.	" M.N.Subbanna,	23-2-20	250	23-2-23.
18.	" T. Shama Iyengar,	8-11-1	200	8-11-20.
19.	" B. Ramanna,	5-7-2	200	5-7-21.
20.	" G.Krishna Murthi (s.p.t.)	8-9-2	200	27-12-22

Yet to be confd.
Sd/- 8.9.21
Sd/- 8.9.21
not as high II Grade
on 200/- for 27.12.19

As per Council Resolution dated 20th Septr. 1923

pay calculated on the basis of number of years of service after last i

Period of completed service on 1-7-23.	2/3rds of column 6 rounded to the next No. of divisible months.	Date on which the increment fell due.	Pay on 1st July 1923.	Date of next increment.	Extra during 1923-24
6	7	8	9	10	11
7-7-9	22 × ½ = 11 ✓	1.7.23 ✓	100 ✓	1.7.24	50×11 =
1-7-4	20 × ½ = 10 ✓	1.9.22 ✓	50 ✓	1.9.23	50×9 =
0-10-0	10 × ½ = 5 ✓	1.2.23 ✓	50 ✓	1.2.24	50×7 / 100×4 } =
8-2-9	4 × ½ = 2	~~8.8.23~~ ✓ 8.6.23	~~50~~	~~8.8.24~~ 8.6.24	550 (- minus 450 = 185
1-5-25	18 × ⅔ = 12 ✓	1.7.23 (1) ✓	100 ✓	1.7.24 ✓	50×11 = 550 (-245
9-8-0 ✓	9 × ⅔ = 6 ✓	1.1.23 ✓	75 ✓	1.1.24 ✓	25×6 / 50×5 } 400
2-10-9	36 × ⅔ = 24 ✓	1.7.22 ✓	75 ✓	1.7.24 ✓	25×11 = 275
1-10-4	24 × ⅔ = 16 ✓	1.3.23 (2) ✓	50 ✓	1.3.24 ✓	50×8 / 75×3 } 625 (-450
1-10-2 ✓	24 × ⅔ = 16 ✓	-do- ✓	do- ✓	do- ✓	625 (-450
2-10-20 ✓	36 × ⅔ = 24 ✓	1.7.22 ✓	75 ✓	1.7.24 ✓	25×11 = 275
2-9-28	33 × ⅔ = 22 ✓	1.9.22 ✓	0 ✓	1.9.23 ✓	25×9 = 225
2-1-6	27 × ⅔ = 18 ✓	1.1.23 ✓	0 ✓	1.1.24 ✓	25×5 = 125
1-10-16	24 × ⅔ = 16 ✓	1.3.23 (2) ✓	0 ✓	1.3.24 ✓	50×8 / 75×3 } = 625 (-475
1-7-7 ✓	21 × ⅔ = 14 ✓	1.5.23 (2) ✓	0 ✓	1.5.24 ✓	50×10 / 75×1 } = 57 (-3
0-8-9 ✓	9 × ⅔ = 6 ✓	1.1.23 ✓	50 ✓	1.1.24 ✓	25×6 / 50×5 } = 40
0-6-11	6 × ⅔ = 4 ✓	1.3.23 ✓	- ✓	1.3.24 ✓	25×8 / 50×3 } = 34
0-6-9	6 × ⅔ = 4 ✓	-do- ✓	- ✓	-do- ✓	3
2-8-0	33 × ⅔ = 22 ✓	1.9.22 ✓	✓	1.9.23 ✓	25×9 =
2-7-13	33 × ⅔ = 22 ✓	-do- ✓	- ✓	-do- ✓	
2-6-16	× ⅔ = 20 ✓	1.11.22 ✓	✓	1.11.23 ✓	25×7 =
2-4-	⅔ = 20 ✓	-do- ✓	- ✓	-do- ✓	
1-7-	1 × ⅔ = 14 ✓	1.5.23 (2) ✓	✓	1.5.24 ✓	50×10 / 75×1 } 75 (32)
0-11-	12 × ⅔ = 8 ✓	1.11.22 ✓	✓	1.11.23 ✓	25×4 / 50×7 } 450

Sub Jr

Last due in the current year the old Scheme	225 / 259
Net. cost for Munsiffs:	4966
" Sub Judges:	1850
Total	6816

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, dated 6th November 1923.

Fixes the pay of Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Subordinate Judges in the new grades.

READ-

G. O. No. 17-61—C. B. 98-18-3, dated 3rd July 1923, revising the scale of pay of the Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Sub-Judges as shown below with effect from the year 1923-24:—

	Old scale.	*Revised scale.*
	Rs.	Rs.
Assistant Commissioners ...	250—50-3—450	250—25—450
Munsiffs	150—200—50-3—400	200—25—450
Sub-Judges	500—50-2—600	500—50—600

ORDER NO. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, DATED 6TH NOVEMBER 1923.

Government direct that in fixing the pay of the existing officers under the revised scale the following principles be adopted:—

(*a*) For fixing the pay of each officer on 1st July 1923, the revised scale of pay will be deemed to have been introduced from 1st July 1922, but no officer will be allowed to draw any arrears of pay under the above arrangement.

(*b*) In the case of Assistant Commissioners and Munsiffs, the service for the purpose of increment will be calculated at two-thirds of the period of their service on 1st July 1922 from the date of their last increment and in the case of Sub-Judges at half the period.

2. A statement showing the pay to which the officers will be entitled on 1st July 1923, and the date on which they will get their next increment in accordance with the above principles is attached.

3. Deducting the cost on account of the increments which would have fallen due to certain officers under the old scale in the year 1923-24, the extra cost during the current year will be—

Rs. 13,635 for Assistant Commissioners,
Rs. 4,966 for Munsiffs, and
Rs. 1,850 for Sub-Judges.

It will be met from the provision of Rs. 15,000 in the current year's budget for 'Reorganization' under the head of "I. Land Revenue" in the case of Assistant Commissioners and from the savings in the current year's budget provision for the new Civil Courts in the case of Munsiffs and Sub-Judges.

4. The cases of the non-civilian Secretariat Officers and Assistant Comptrollers and of officers who have been acting for long periods as Assistant Commissioners are reserved for separate consideration.

K. MATTHAN,
Chief Secretary to Govt.

To—The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.
The Secretaries to Government.
The Heads of Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—A.M.K.

No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, DATED 6TH NOVEMBER 1923.

Statement showing the pay fixed for each officer under the revised scale on 1st July 1923 and the date of next increment.

No.	Name	Pay on 1st July 1923	Date of next increment	Remarks
	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS.	Rs.		
1	Mr. M. A. Singrachar	425	1st November 1923	
2	„ C. Machaiya	400	do	
3	„ N. Rama Rao	400	do	O.O.D.
4	„ A. Hayes	400	do	
5	„ B. Narayanaswamiengar	375	1st March 1924	
6	„ M. S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L. ...	375	do	
7	„ M. Sadasiva Rao .	375	do	
8	„ N. Krishnaswami Rao .	375	do	
9	„ M. N. Balaraj Urs .	350	1st November 1923	
10	„ M. Venkatesa Iyengar	350	do	
11	„ A. N. Raghavachar	350	do	
12	„ G. M. Mehkri	350	do	
13	„ G. Rudrappa	350	do	~~O.O.D.~~
14	„ M. Venkatanaranappa	350	1st January 1924	~~O.O.D.~~
15	„ S. N. Appanna Iyengar	350	1st July 1924	
16	„ Y. Narasinga Rao,	350	do	~~O.O.D.~~
17	„ H. Vyasa Rao	350	do	~~O.O.D.~~
18	„ C. Gopalachar (P. P.)	350	do	
19	„ G. Narayana Rao	350	do	
20	„ M. Seshadri	325	1st March 1924	
21	„ P. H. Krishna Rao	325	do	
22	„ N. Sundararajiengar	325	do	
23	„ T. V. A. Isvaran	325	do	
24	„ M. Chennaraj Urs	325	do	
25	„ T. Abdul Khader	325	do	
26	„ M. A. Srinivasan	300	1st November 1923	
27	„ S. Narayana Rao	300	do	
28	„ D. C. Subbarayappa	300	do	
29	„ M. Abdul Hukh	300	do	
30	„ J. Appaji Gowda	300	do	
31	„ M. Navaneetham Naidu	300	do	
32	„ M. Viraraj Urs	300	do	
33	„ S. Reuben (P. P.)	300	do	
34	„ S. N. Srinarasimha Sastry (P. P.)	300	do	
35	„ M. S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A. (P. P.)	300	do	
36	„ T. Hanumantha Rao (P. P.)	300	do	
37	„ R. A. Narasimha Iyengar (P. P.)	300	do	
38	„ C. Lakshmana Rao (P. P.)	300	do	
39	„ Abdul Aziz Khan (P. P.)	300	1st January 1924	
40	„ B. S. Raghavendra Rao	300	do	
41	„ V. Vedavyasacharya	300	1st July 1924	
42	„ T. Ramaiya	300	do	
43	„ G. Sundara Rao	275	1st November 1923	
44	„ E. V. Ganapathi Iy	275	1st March 1924	
45	„ K. Subba Rao	275	do	
46	„ M. Shamanna	275	do	
47	„ K. Guru Dutt ...	275	do	
48	„ Mahomed Ismail Sherif	275	do	
49	„ Charles Noronha	275	do	
50	„ R. P. Mallappa	275	do	
51	„ R. Puttaraj Urs	275	do	
52	„ C. M. H. Ranajodha Sin	275	do	
53	„ P. Suryanarayana Setti	275	do	

No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, dated 6th November 1923.

Serial No.	Name	Pay. on 1st July 1923	Date of next increment	Remarks
	SUB-JUDGES.	Rs.		
1	Mr. G. Satyaji Rao	600		
2	,, S. Venkatakrishnaiya	550	1st September 1923	
3	,, P. Mahadevaiya	550	1st February 1924	
4	,, A. Srinivasa Raghavachar... ...	550	8th June 1924	
	MUNSIFFS.			
1	Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar	400	1st July 1924	
2	,, D. Alasingrachar	375	1st January 1924	
3	,, N. G. Lakshminarasimha Iyengar ..	375	1st July 1924	
4	,, N. K. Srinivasa Rao	350	1st March 1924	
5	,, H. M. Krishnaswami	350	do	
6	,, H. K. Veerabasavaiya	325	1st July 1924	
7	,, S. A. Vaidesvara Iyer	300	1st September 1923	
8	,, C. Abdul Ghani	300	1st January 1924	O.O.D.
9	,, S. R. Ramaswami Iyer	300	1st March 1924	
10	,, T. Singaravelu Mudaliar	300	1st May 1924	
11	,, C. B. Nagabushana Rao	275	1st January 1924	
12	,, S. Venkataranga Iyengar	275	1st March 1924	
13	,, C. Subramanya Iyer	275	1st March 1924	
14	,, S. Ramachandra Sastri	250	1st September 1923	
15	,, H. Nanjundiah	250	1st September 1923	
16	,, A. Sundararaja Rao	250	1st November 1923	
17	,, M. N. Subbanna	250	do	
18	,, T. Shama Iyengar	250	1st May 1924	
19	,, B. Ramanna	225	1st November 1923	

W D 909—GPB—725—15-11-23

S. O.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, dated 6th November 1923.

Fixes the pay of Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Subordinate Judges in the new grades.

READ—

G. O. No. 17-61—C. B. 98-18-3, dated 3rd July 1923, revising the scale of pay of the Assistant Commissioners, Munsiffs and Sub-Judges as shown below with effect from the year 1923-24:—

	Old scale. Rs.	*Revised scale.* Rs.
Assistant Commissioners	... 250—50-3—450	250—25—450
Munsiffs	... 150—200—50-3—400	200—25—450
Sub-Judges	... 500—50-2—600	500—50—600

ORDER No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, DATED 6TH NOVEMBER 1923.

Government direct that in fixing the pay of the existing officers under the revised scale the following principles be adopted:—

(*a*) For fixing the pay of each officer on 1st July 1923, the revised scale of pay will be deemed to have been introduced from 1st July 1922, but no officer will be allowed to draw any arrears of pay under the above arrangement.

(*b*) In the case of Assistant Commissioners and Munsiffs, the service for the purpose of increment will be calculated at two-thirds of the period of their service on 1st July 1922 from the date of their last increment and in the case of Sub-Judges at half the period.

2. A statement showing the pay to which the officers will be entitled on 1st July 1923, and the date on which they will get their next increment in accordance with the above principles is attached.

3. Deducting the cost on account of the increments which would have fallen due to certain officers under the old scale in the year 1923-24, the extra cost during the current year will be—

Rs. 13,635 for Assistant Commissioners,
Rs. 4,966 for Munsiffs, and
Rs. 1,850 for Sub-Judges.

It will be met from the provision of Rs. 15,000 in the current year's budget for 'Reorganization' under the head of "I. Land Revenue" in the case of Assistant Commissioners and from the savings in the current year's budget provision for the new Civil Courts in the case of Munsiffs and Sub-Judges.

4. The cases of the non-civilian Secretariat Officers and Assistant Comptrollers and of officers who have been acting for long periods as Assistant Commissioners are reserved for separate consideration.

K. MATTHAN,
Chief Secretary to Govt.

To—The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.
The Secretaries to Government.
The Heads of Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—A.M.K.

No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, DATED 6TH NOVEMBER 1923.

Statement showing the pay fixed for each officer under the revised scale on 1st July 1923 and the date of next increment.

No.	Name	Pay on 1st July 1923	Date of next increment	Remarks
	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONERS.	Rs.		
1	Mr. M. A. Singrachar ...	425	st November 1923	
2	,, C. Machaiya ...	400	do	
3	,, N. Rama Rao ...	400	do	O.O.D.
4	,, A. Hayes	400	do	
5	,, B. Narayanaswamiengar ...	375	1st March 1924	
6	,, M. S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L.	375	do	
7	,, M. Sadasiva Rao ...	375	do	
8	,, N. Krishnaswami Rao ...	375	do	
9	,, M. N. Balaraj Urs ...	350	st November 1923	
10	,, M. Venkatesa Iyengar ...	350	do	
11	,, A. N. Raghavachar ...	350	do	
12	,, G. M. Mehkri ...	350	do	
13	,, G. Rudrappa ...	350	do	~~O.O.D.~~
14	,, M. Venkatanaranappa ...	350	1st January 1924	O.O.D.
15	,, S. N. Appanna Iyengar ...	350	1st July 1924	
16	,, Y. Narasinga Rao, ...	350	do	O.O.D.
17	,, H. Vyasa Rao ...	350	do	~~O.O.D.~~
18	,, C. Gopalachar (P. P.) ...	350	do	
19	,, G. Narayana Rao	350	do	
20	,, M. Seshadri ...	325	1st March 1924	
21	,, P. H. Krishna Rao ...	325	do	
22	,, N. Sundararajiengar ...	325	do	
23	,, T. V. A. Isvaran ...	325	do	
24	,, M. Chennaraj Urs ...	325	do	
25	,, T. Abdul Khader ...	325	do	
26	,, M. A. Srinivasan ...	300	st November 1923	
27	,, S. Narayana Rao ...	300	do	
28	,, D. C. Subbarayappa ...	300	do	
29	,, M. Abdul Hukh ...	300	do	
30	,, J. Appaji Gowda ...	300	do	
31	,, M. Navaneetham Naidu ...	300	do	
32	,, M. Viraraj Urs ...	300	do	
33	,, S. Reuben (P. P.) ...	300	do	
34	,, S. N. Srinarasimha Sastry (P. P.)	300	do	
35	,, M. S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A. (P. P.)	300	do	
36	,, T. Hanumantha Rao (P. P.)	300	do	
37	,, R. A. Narasimha Iyengar (P. P.)	300	do	
38	,, C. Lakshmana Rao (P. P.)	300	do	
39	,, Abdul Aziz Khan (P. P.) ...	300	1st January 1924	
40	,, B. S. Raghavendra Rao ...	300	do	
41	,, V. Vedavyasacharya ...	300	1st July 1924	
42	,, T. Ramaiya ...	300	do	
43	,, G. Sundara Rao ...	275	1st November 1923	
44	,, E. V. Ganapathi Iyer ...	275	1st March 1924	
45	,, K. Subba Rao	275	do	
46	,, M. Shamanna ...	275	do	
47	,, K. Guru Dutt ...	275	do	
48	,, Mahomed Ismail Sheriff	275	do	
49	,, Charles Noronha	275	do	
50	,, R. P. Mallappa ...	275	do	
51	,, R. Puttaraj Urs ...	275	do	
52	,, C. M. H. Ranajodha Singh ...	275	do	
53	,, P. Suryanarayana Setti ...	275	do	

No. 1521-70—C. B. 3-23-4, dated 6th November 1923.

Serial No.	Name	Pay on 1st July 1923	Date of next increment	Remarks
	Sub-Judges.	Rs.		
1	Mr. G. Satyaji Rao	600		
2	„ S. Venkatakrishnaiya	550	1st September 1923	
3	„ P. Mahadevaiya	550	1st February 1924	
4	„ A. Srinivasa Raghavachar...	550	8th June 1924	
	Munsiffs.			
1	Mr. B. Ranga Iyengar	400	1st July 1924	
2	D. Alasingrachar	375	1st January 1924	
3	N. G. Lakshminarasimha Iyengar	375	1st July 1924	
4	N. K. Srinivasa Rao	350	1st March 1924	
5	H. M. Krishnaswami	350	do	
6	H. K. Veerabasavaiy	325	1st July 1924	
7	S. A. Vaidesvara Iye	300	1st September 1923	
8	C. Abdul Ghani	300	1st January 1924	O.O.D.
9	S. R. Ramaswami Iyer	300	1st March 1924	
10	T. Singaravelu Mudaliar	300	1st May 1924	
11	C. B. Nagabushana Rao	275	1st January 1924	
12	S. Venkataranga Iyengar	275	1st March 1924	
13	C. Subramanya Iyer	275	1st March 1924	
14	S. Ramachandra Sastri	250	1st September 1923	
15	H. Nanjundiah	250	1st September 1923	
16	A. Sundararaja Rao	250	1st November 1923	
17	M. N. Subbanna	250	do	
18	T. Shama Iyengar	250	1st May 1924	
19	B. Ramanna	225	1st November 1923	

W D 909—GPB—725—15-11-23

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.

Mr Rees Path

Please note the probable expenditure for the current year (10 months) on account of civil courts & the saving on the budget provision that would be available for augmentation of pay of Munsiffs & subordinates

9/8/23

Details are worked out pages attached

Amount available out of Rs 14358/—

There is also a sum of Rs 5000/- for moving courts, which are not sanctioned yet. A portion of this amount may also be available at the end of the year, if there are no proposals this year

Chief Secy)

C.B. Please put this back to me on 9.8.23 with the file re C.S. allowances & a note on the new pay

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Subd

The actual Cost of the new civil Courts sanctioned in Govt. No. P 4068 Cl 157-22-9 & 20.) 1923 will be for 10 months will be.

		Rs
Munsiff's Court Bangalore	Munsiff -	150 p.m
	2 Clerks on 35, 30	65 --
	1 Daffedar -	11
	1 Peon	10
	1 Bottar -	10
	1 Sweeper -	9
		255 p.m

or 2550 - for 10 months.

2 Munsiff's Court Mysore -		Rs 255 as above
	add Rent -	40
		295 - p.m

Rs 2950 for 10 months.

3 Munsiff's Court Tumkur	Munsiff -	150 - p.m
	1 Head munshi	45
	1 Dy munshi	40
	1 Court Nayak 30-1-35	30
	4 munshis on Rs 30/-	120
	3 peons as on Rs 25/-	75
	1 Daffedar -	11
	1 Peon -	10
	1 Bottar	10
	1 Sweeper -	9
		500 p.m
	1 Process Est -	
	1 Process Nayak -	35
	1 Munshi	23
	5 Bailiffs on 20 -	100
	2 Process servers on 13	26
	13 Process servers on 11 -	143
		827 p.m

or 8270 for 10 months -

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must de copied, or slips pasted on this form.

WD 1880—GPB—1,50 000—2 5-21

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Savings from the 1st Court Rs 178 per m or
Rs 1780 for 10 months

Net ~~Actual~~ Cost for the Second Munsiff's Court
is Rs 270 - 1780 = 6490 for 10 months.

The total cost for 10 months is Rs

1) Munsiff's Court Bangalore 2550.
2) " Mysore - 2950
3) " Tumkur - 6490
Contingencies 600

12,590

Budget provision for the Temporary
Second Munsiff's Court - 5148 + 1800 Rs (Munsiff's pay provided for in the Budget for one temporary

Budget provision for the
new civil Courts - 20,000

Total . 25,148 + 1800

Expenditure for Courts 12559.0

Savings 12,558 + 1800 = Rs 14358/-

9.8.25

If the additional grant of Rs 5000/- promised to be provided in the R. A. closing speech, the actual amount available will be
Rs 12558 + 5000 = Rs 17558

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be pasted on this form.

This is for saving Courts - If two Courts are started nothing will be available with one Court, about Rs 2000 may be

inspected -

Cas
9/8

Sl.no	2 Name of Officers	3 Date of confirmation	4 Present pay	5 Date of promotion to present pay	Pay calculated on the basis of completed years of service after 6 No of years of completed service after confirmation	7 pay on 1.7.23	8 Date of next increment
1	Mr. M. A. Singaracharya	1.8.1912	400	1.8.1921	10	450	
2	" C. Madhavaiya	10.2.1914	400	10.2.1923	9	450	
3	" N. Rama Rao (O.O.D.)	-do-	-do-	-do-	do	do	
4	" A. Hayes	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	450	
5	" B. Narayanaswamy Iyengar	25.2.1916	350	25.2.22	7	425	25.2.24
6	" M.S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.B.L.	-do-	-do-	-do-	7	425	-do-
7	" M. Sadasiva Rao, ~~B.A.B.L.~~	-do-	-do-	-do-	7	425	-do-
8	" N. Krishnaswami Rao	-do-	-do-	25.2.23	7	425	-do-
9	" M.N. Balaraj Urs	1.2.1917	350	1.2.23	6	400	1.2.24
10	" M. Venkatesa Iyengar	10.2.1917	350	10.2.23	6	-do-	10.2.24
11	" A.N. Raghavachar	10.2.1917	350	10.2.23	6	-do	10.2.24
12	" G.M. Mehkri	13.2.1917	-do-	13.2.23	6	-do-	13.2.24
13	" G. Ruddappa	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do	-do-	13.2.24
14	" M. Venkatanarayappa (O.O.D.)	10.5.1917	350	10.5.23	6	-do-	10.5.24
15	" S.N. Appanna Iyengar	16.1.1918	300	16.1.21	5	375	16.1.24
16	" G. Narasinga Rao (O.O.D.)	~~do~~ -do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
17	" H. Vijaya Rao	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
18	" C. Gopaladas (P.P.)	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
19	" G. Narayana Rao	-do-	-do-	16.7.21	-do-	-do-	-do-
20	" M. Seshadri	16.2.1919	300	16.2.22	4	350	16.2.24
21	" P.H. Krishna Rao	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
22	" N. Sundararajiengar	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
23	" T.U.A. Iswaran	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
24	" M. Chinnaraj Urs	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
25	" T. Abdul Khader	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
26	" M.A. Srinivasan	18.1.1920	300	18.1.23	3	325	18.1.24
27	" S. Narayana Rao	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
28	" D.C. Subbarayappa	21.1.1920	300	21.1.23	-do-	-do-	21.1.24
29	" M. Abdul Hakh	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
30	" J. Appaji Gowda	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
31	" M. Narasimha Rao	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-
32	" M. Virabhadra Urs	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-	-do-

Assistant Commissioners

B

of number of confirmation	Pay calculated on the basis of no of completed years of service ables last increment					14. Remarks
9 Extra cost for the year.	10 No of completed years of service ables last increment	11 pay on 1.7.23	12 Date of next increment	13 Extra cost for the year.		
550		425	1.7.24	275		
550	—	400	10.2.24	88		
nil ~~550~~	-do-	-do-	-do-	~~88~~ nil.		
176 ~~11~~ 2	-do-	-do-	-do-	nil	To retire from 17.10.23.	
905	1	375	1.7.24	275		
905	do	do	-do-	275		
905	do	do	-do-	275		
905	1	~~375~~ 350	~~1.7.24~~ 25-2-24	~~275~~ 79	(For orders)	
650	—	350	1.2.24	100		
642	—	-do-	10.2.24	92		
642	—	-do-	10.2.24	92		
639	—	-do-	13.2.24	90		
639	—	-do-	13.2.24	90		
nil	—	-do-	10.5.24	nil		
937-225	2	350	1.7.24	550		
nil	-do-	-do-	-do-	~~550~~ nil		
937-225	-do-	-do-	-do-	550		
937-225	-do-	-do-	-do-	550		
937-225	2	350	1.7.24	550	He would get his next increment from (For orders) 16.1.24.	
637	1	325	1.7.24	275		
637	-do-	-do-	-do-	275		
637	-do-	-do-	-do-	275		
637	-do-	325	-do-	275		
637	-do-	-do-	-do-	275		
637	-do-	-do-	-do-	275		
385	—	300	18.1.24	110		
385	—	-do-	-do-	100		
383	—	300	21.1.24	108		
383	—	-do-	-do-	108		
383	—	-do-	-do-	108		
383	—	-do-	-do-	108		
383	—	-do-	-do-	108		
10,253				6,537		6,537

Sl No	2 Name of Officer.	3 Date of confirmation	4 Present pay	5 Date of promotion to present pay	Pay calculated on the basis of completed years of service allowed 6 No of completed years of service allowed	7 pay on 1.7.23	8 Date of next increment
	P.P. Asst. Comrs						
33	Mr. S. Reuben	9.2.20	300	9.2.23	3	325	9.2.24
34	" S.N. Sri Narasimha Sastry	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
35	" M.S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
36	" T. Hanumantha Rao	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
37	" R.A. Narasimha Ayyangar	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
38	" C. Lakshmana Rao	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
39	" Abdul Aziz Khan	11.5.20	300	11.5.23	3	- do -	11.5.24
40	" B.S. Raghavendra Rao	8.1.21	250	8.1.21	2	300	8.1.24
41	" V. Vedavyasacharya	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
42	" T. Ramaiya	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
43	" G. Sundara Rao	2.7.21	250	2.7.21	1	275	2.7.24
44	" S.V. Ganapathi Ayyer	9.1.22	250	19.1.22	1	275	19.1.24
45	" Ismail Sheriff	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
46	" K. Subba Rao	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	do -
47	" M. Shamanna	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
48	" K. Hari Dutt	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	do -
49	" Charles Noronha	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
50	" R.P. Hatti Appa	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
51	" R. Puttaraj urs	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
52	" C.M.H. Ranjodh Singh	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -
53	" P. Sampangayya Setti	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -	- do -

minus.

Net cost.

B.

umber of etirmation.	Pay calculated on the basis of no of completed years of service after last increment.				14 Remarks
9 extra cost for the year.	10 No of completed years of service after last increment	11 pay on 1.7.23	12 Date of next increment	13 extra cost for the year.	
1836 3				6,537	
367		300	9.2.24	82	
367		-do-	-do-	82	
367		-do-	-do-	82	
367		-do-	-do-	82	
367		-do-	-do-	82	
367		-do-	-do-	82	
291		-do-	1.5.24	17	
669-238½	2	300	1.7.24	550	
669-238½	-do-	-do-	-do-	550	
669-238½	-do-	-do-	-do-	550	
549	1	275	1.7.24	275	
383	1	275	1.7.24	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
383	-do-	-do-	-do-	275	
27,242				7921	
1,615			min	1,615	
25,627				106	

Sub 9

A

Sl no	2 Name of officer	3 Date of confirmation	4 Present pay	5 Date of transition to present pay	Pay calculated on the basis of completed years of service as 6 No of completed years of service after confirmation	7 Pay on 1.7.23	8 Date of next increment
	Sub Judges (4)						
1	Mr. G. Satyaji Rao	6-9-20	550	6.9.22	2	600	
2	" S. Venkatakrishnaiya	26.11.20	550	26.11.22	2	600	
3	" P. Madhaviayya	31.8.21	500	31.8.21	1	550	31.8.23
4	" A. Srinivasaraghavachar	12.6.23	500	12.6.23	—	500	12.6.24
	Munsiffs (20)						
1	Mr. B. Adinya Iyengar	5.1.12	350	5.1.21	11	450	
2	" D. Alamelavachar	1.11.12	350	1.11.21	10	450	
3	" N.G. Lakshminarasimha Iyengar	21-8-13	350	21.8.22	9	425	21.8.23
4	" N.K. Srinivasa Rao	26.8.14	300	26.8.20	8	400	26.8.23
5	" H.M. Krishnaswami	28.8.14	300	28.8.20	8	400	28.8.23
6	" H.K. Veerabasavaiya	10.8.16	300	10.8.22	6	350	10.8.23
7	" S.A. Vaideeswara Iyer	2.9.16	300	2.9.22	6	350	2.9.23
8	" C. Abdul Ghani	24.5.17	300	24.5.23	6	350	24.5.24
9	" S.R. Ramaswami Iyer	14.8.17	250	14.8.20	5	325	14.8.23
10	" T. Singaravelu Mudaliar	23.11.17	250	23.11.20	5	325	23.11.23
11	" C.B. Nagabhushana Rao	21.10.18	250	21.10.21	4	300	21.10.23
12	" S. Venkataranga Iyengar	19.12.18	250	19.12.21	4	300	19.12.23
13	" C. Sivaramaiya Iyer	21.12.18	250	21.12.21	4	300	21.12.23
14	" S. Ramachandra Sastry	1.11.19	250	1.11.22	3	275	1.11.23
15	" H. Nanjundiah	17.11.19	250	17.11.22	3	275	17.11.23
16	" A. Sundararaja Rao	20.12.19	250	20.12.22	3	275	20.12.23
17	" M.N. Subbamma	23.2.20	250	23.2.23	3	275	23.2.24
18	" T. Sheema Iyengar	8.11.20	200	8.11.20	2	250	8.11.23
19	" B. Ramanna	5.7.21	200	5.7.21		225	5.7.23
20	" G. Krishnamurti (C.S.P.T.)	8.9.21 (C.S.P.T.)	200				

Total mi

Net co

Net cost

Grand Total

Wages & Muniths.

(3

number of confirmation. 9	Pay calculated on the basis of no. of completed years of service after last increment. 10 No. of completed years of service after last increment	11 Pay on 1.7.23	12 Date of next increment	13 Gross cost for the year	14 Remarks
India cost for the year					
550		550	6.9.23	440	
550		550	26.11.23	308	
1,000-450		550	1.7.24	550	
nil		500	12.6.24	nil	
2,100				1298	
450			minus	450	
1,650			Net cost	848	
1,100-240	2	400	1.7.24	550	
1,100	1	375	1.7.24	275	
1,058	—	350	21.8.23	233	
1,328-450	2	350	1.7.24	550	
1,326-450	2	350	1.7.24	550	
791	—	300	10.8.23	242	
774	—	300	2.9.23	224	
556	—	300	24.5.24	5	
1,063-475	2	300	1.7.24	550	
983-312	2	300	1.7.24	550	
733	1	275	1.7.24	275	
683	1	275	1.7.24	275	
682	1	275	1.7.24	275	
450		250	1.11.23	175	
437		250	17.11.23	161	
408		250	20.12.23	134	
356		250	23.2.24	81	
718-332	2	250	1.7.24	550	
547		225	1.7.24	275	
15,093				5930	
2,259			minus	2259	
12,834			Net cost	3671	
14,484			Grand Total	4519	

(As for Fin. Secys note 8.8.9.23)

Sl. No.	Name of officer	Date of confirmation (250)	Present Pay	Date of promotion to present pay	Pay calculated on the basis of numbe Period of completed service. m 1.7.23	2/3rds of column rounded to the next number of divisible months.
					y m d-	
1.	Mr.M.A.Singracher	1- 8-1912	400	1- 8-1921	10-11-0	$\frac{2}{3} \times 131 = 88$
2.	" C.Machaiya	10-2-1914	400	10- 2-1923	9-4-20	
3.	" N.Rama Rao, O.C.D.	-do-	400	10-2- 1923	9-4-3	
4.	" A.Hayes	-do-	400	10- 2-1923	9-4-9	
5.	" B.Narayanasami Iyengar.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	7-4-	
6.	" M.S.Ramachandra Rao B.A.B.L.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	7-4-9	
7.	" M.Sadasiva Rao, B.A..B.L.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	7-4-	
9.	" M.N.Balaraj Urs	1- 2-1917	350	1- 2-1923	6 5-	
10.	" M.Venkatesa Iyengar	10- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	6 4-	
11.	" A.N.Raghavacher	10- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	6 4-	
12.	" G.L.Mehkri	13- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	4-	
13.	" G.Rulreppa	13- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	4-	
8.	" N.Krishnaswami Rao	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1923	4-	
14.	" M.Venkatanarayappa O.C.D.	10- 5-1917	350	10- 5-1923	1-	
15.	" S.N.Appanna Iyengar	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	5- 1	
16.	" Y.Narasinga Rao O.C.D.	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	5- 10	
17.	" H.Vyasa Rao	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	5- 10	
18.	" C.Gopalacher	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	5- 10	

(In accordance with the Fin. Secy's note dt 8.9.23).

of years of service after confirmation. Pay calculated on the basis of number of years of service after last increment.

oposed pay n 1-7-23.	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.	Period of completed service. (w.e.f. 1-7-23)	2/3rds of column rounded to the next number of divisible months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.
			y m d				
425	1.3.24	25×8/50×3 = 350	1-11-0	2/3 × 23 = 16	25	1.3.24	25×8/50×3 = 3
			-4-20	2/3 × 4 = 4	00	1.3.24	25×3 = 75
			-4-20	2/3 × 4 = 4	400	1.3.24	nil ~~75~~
			-4-20	4	400	1.3.24	nil ~~75~~
			1-4-5	2/3 × 16 = 12	375	1.7.24	25×11 = 275
			1-4-5	12	375	1.7.24	275
			1-4-5	12	375	1.7.24	275
			0-5-0	2/3 × 5 = 4	350	1.3.24	25×3 = 75
			0-4-20	2/3 × 4 = 4	350	1.3.24	75
			0-4-20	4	350	1.3.24	75
			0-4-20	4	350	1.3.24	75
			0-4-20	4	375	1-7-24	275
			1-4-5	2/3 of 16 = 12	350	1.5.24	nil ~~25~~
			0-1-20	2/3 × 1 = 2			
			2-5-14	2/3 × 29 = 20	325	1.11.23	25×4/50×7 = 450
			2-5-14	= 20	325	1.11.23	nil ~~45~~
			2-5-14	= 20	325	1.11.23	45
			2-5-14	= 20	325	1.11.23	45

38

No	Name	Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay.	Pay calculated on the basis of number o	
					Period of completed service.	2/3rds of column rounded to the next number of divisible months.
19.	Mr.G.Na[illegible] nao	16-1-18	300	16-7-21	5-5-14	
20.	"M.Seshadri	16-2-19	300	16-2-22	4-4-14	
21.	" P.H.Krishna Rao	do	300	16-2-22		
22.	" N.Sunderajiengar	do	300	16-2-22		
23.	" T.V.A.Iswaran	do	300	16-2-22		
24.	" M.Chamaraj Urs	do	300	16-2-22		
25.	" T.Abdul Khader	do	300	16-2-22		
26.	" M.A.Srinivasan	18-1-20	300	18-1-23		
27.	" S.Narayana Rao	do	300	18-1-23		
28.	" D.C.Subrayappa	21-1-20	300	21-1-23		
29.	" M.Abdul Khank	do	300	21-1-23		
30.	" J.Appaji Gowda	do	300	21-1-23		
31.	" M.Navanithan Naidu	21-1-20	300	21-1-23		
32.	" M.Viraraj Urs	21-1-20	300	21-1-23		
33.	" S.Ruben	9-2-20	300	9-2-23		
34.	" S.N.Srinarasimha Sastry.	do	do	do		
35.	" M.S.Ramachandra Rao,B.A.	"	"	"		
36.	" T.Hanumantha Rao	"	"	"		
37.	" R.A.Narasim Iyengar	"	"	"		
38.	" C.Lakshmana Rao	"	"	"		
39.	" Abdul Aziz Khan	11-5-20	300	11-5-23		
40.	" B.S.Raghavendra Rao	8-1-21	250	8-1-21		
41.	" V.Vedavyasacharya	do	do	do		
42.	" T.Ramaiya	do	do	do		
43.	" G.Sundara Rao	2-7-21	do	2-7-21		
44.	" E.V.Ganapati Iyer	19-1-22	do	19-1-22		

years of service after confirmation.			Pay calculated on the basis of number of years of service after last increment.				
roposed pay on 1-7-23	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.	Period of completed service. m 1-7-23	2/3rds of column rounded to the next number of divisible months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.
			2-5-14	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 30 = 20.	325	1-11-23	3875 450
			1-4-14	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 16 = 12	325	1.7.24	25×11= 275
			1-4-14	12	325	1.7.24	275
			1-4-14	12	325	1.7.24	275
			1-4-14	12	325	1.7.24	275
			1-4-14	12	325	1.7.24	275
			1-4-14	12	325	1.7.24	275
			0-5-12	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 5 = 4	300	1.3.24	25×3 = 75
			0-5-12	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-5-9	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-5-9	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-5-9	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-5-9	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-5-9	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-4-21	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 4 = 4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-4-21	4	300	1.3.24	75
			do	4	300	1.3.24	75
			do	4	300	1.3.24	75
			do	4	300	1.3.24	75
			do	4	300	1.3.24	75
			0-1-19	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 1 = 2	300	1.5.24	2
			2-5-22	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 29 = 20	275	1.11.23	25×4 50×7 = 450
			2-5-22	20	275	1.11.23	4
			2-5-22	20	275	1.11.23	4
			1-11-28	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 23 = 16	275	1.3.24	25×8 50×3 = 350
			1-5-11	$\frac{2}{3}$ x 17 = 12	275	1.7.24	25×11 = 275

Sl No	Name	Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay	Pay calculated on the Period of completed service.	2/3rds of colum rounded to the of divisible n
45.	Mr.Ismail Sheriff	19-1-22	250	19-1-22		
46.	" K.Subba Rao	"	"	"		
47.	" M.Shamanna	"	"	"		
48.	" K.Guru Dutt	"	"	"		
49.	" Charles Agronha	"	"	"		
50.	" R.P.Mallappa	"	"	"		
51.	" R.Puttaraj Urs	"	"	"		
52.	" C.M.H.Ranajodh Singh, B.A.	"	"	"		
53.	" P.Suryanarayana Setti.	"	"	"		

number of years of service after confirmation. Pay calculated on the basis of number of years of service after last increme

Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.	Period of completed service. On 1-7-23	2/3rds of column rounded to the next No. of divisible months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of Increment.	Extra Co
	1-5-11		$\frac{2}{3} \times 17 =$	12	275	1.7.24	25x11 =
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	
	1-5-11			12	275	1.7.24	

8

Rs 10,8

22-4

Sylhet-91

625
15.5
2240

sistant Commissioners

(B)

(A)

pay and cost if initial ixed calculated from date increment.			Starting pay and cost of starting pay is fixed, calculated from date of confirmation.				
Increment to be given and date from which it will be effect.	Pay to which he will be promoted	Extra cost.	No of years of completed service	Net increment due	Pay to which he will be promoted from 1-7-1923	Extra cost.	Remarks
25 from 1-7-23 50 from 1-8-23	425 450	525	10	100	450	550	
25 from 1-7-23 50 from 1-7-24	425 450	275	9	75	450	550	
-do-	do	nil	9	do	450	nil	
-do-	do	88	9	do	450	175½	To Retire from 17.10.23
25 from 1-7-23 50 from 25.2.24	375 400	356¼	7	75	425 + 450 from 29.2.24	825 + 50	
do	do	356¼	7	do	do	825 + 50	
do	do	356¼	7	do	do	825 + 50.	
25 from 1-7-23 50 from 1-7-24	375 400	275	6	50	400 * Rs 425 from 1.2.24	550 + 100	
-do-	do	275	6	do	400 * Rs 425 from 10.2.24	550 + 92	
-do-	do	275	6	do	do	550 + 92	
-do-	do	275	6	do	400 * Rs 425 from 13.2.24	550 + 89	
-do-	do	275	6	do	do	550 + 89	
-do-	do	275	7	75	425 * 450 from 25.2.24.	825 + 80.	
-do-	do	nil	6	50	400 * 425 from 10.5.24	nil	Whether Mr. Krishnaswami Rao may be shown any concession in view of the fact that he would have got his next increment from 25-2-25 is for consideration. If it is decide to view the cas favourably, he would get Rs.25 increase from 1-7-23 and Rs.50 from 25-2-24 ; extra cost will be 356¼ instead of 275. (as at 04.81¼)
0 from 1-7-23 5 from 16-1-24	350 375	662½-225	5	75	375 * 400 from 16.1.24	825 + 112	
do	do	nil	5	do	do	nil	
do	do	662½-225	5	do	do	825 + 112	
do	do	662½-225	5	do	do	825 + 112	

As

Sl. No.	Name of officer	Date of confirmation (250)	Present Pay	Date of promotion to present pay	Starting pay is f of last	No of yrs of comple ted servi ce after last in- crement.
1.	Mr.M.A.Singrachar	1- 8-1912	400	1- 8-1921	1-11	
2.	" C.Machaiya	10-2-1914	400	10- 2-1923	0-4½	
3.	" N.Rama Rao, O.O.D.	-do-	400	10-2- 1923	0-4½	
4.	" A.Hayes	-do-	400	10- 2-1923	0-4½	
5.	" B.Narayanasami Iyengar.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	1-4	
6.	" M.S.Ramachandra Rao B.A.B.L.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	do	
7.	" M.Sadasiva Rao, B.A..B.L.	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1922	do	
8.	" M.N.Balaraj Urs	1- 2-1917	350	1- 2-1923	0-5	
9.	" M.Venkatesa Iyengar	10- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	0-4½	
10.	" A.N.Raghavachar	10- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	do	
11.	" G.M.Mehkri	13- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	do	
12.	" G.Rudrappa	13- 2-1917	350	10- 2-1923	do	
13.	" N.Krishnaswami Rao	25- 2-1916	350	25- 2-1923	0-4	
14.	" M.Venkatanarappa O.O.D.	10- 5-1917	350	10- 5-1923	0-1½	
15.	" S.N.Appanna Iyengar	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	2-5½	
16.	" Y.Narasinga Rao O.O.D.	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	do	
17.	" H.Vyasa Rao	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	do	
18.	" C.Gopalachar	16- 1-1918	300	16- 1-1921	do	

Sl. No.	Name.	Date of confirmation.	Present pay.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Pay calculated on	Period of service completed	2/3rds of (rounded to of divisi
							(½ of cu in ca
	SUB JUDGES (4)					y m d	
1.	Mr. G.Satyaji Rao	6-9-20	550	6-9-22	..	2-9-25	½ x
2.	" S.Venkatakrishnaiya	26-11-20	550	26-11-22		1-7-5	½
3.	" P.Mahadevayya,	31-8-21.	500	31-8-21.		1-10-0	½
4.	" A.Srinivasaraghavachar..	12-6-23	500	12-6-23.		0-0-19	
	MUNSIFFS.	(Confirmation on Rs.200)					
1.	Mr. B.Ranga Iyengar.	5-1-12	350	5-1-21.			
2.	" D.Alasingaachar	1-11-12	350	1-11-21			
3.	" N.G.Lakshminarasimha Iyengar.	21-8-13.	350	21-8-22.			
4.	" N.K.Srinivasa Rao	26-8-14.	300	26-8-20.			
5.	" H.M.Krishnaswami	28-8-14	300	28-8-20			
6.	" H.K.Veerabasaviya	10-8-16	300	10-8-22			
7.	" S.A.Vaideeswara Iyer,	2-9-16	300	2-9-22			
8.	" C. Abdul Ghani,	24-5-17	300	24-5-23			
9.	" S.R.Ramaswami Iyer	14-8-17	250	14-8-20			
10.	" T.Singaravelu Mudaliar.	23-11-17	250	23-11-20			
11.	Mr.C.B.Nagabhushana Rao.	21-10-18	250	21-10-21			
12	" S.Venkataranga Iyengar	19-12-18	250	19-12-21			
13.	" C.Subramanya Iyer	21-12-18	250	21-12-21			
14.	" S.Ramachandra Sastry.	1-11-19	250	1-11-22			
15.	" H. Nanjundiah.	17-11-19	250	17-11-22			
16	" A.Sundararaja Rao	20-12-19	250	20-12-22			
17.	" M.N.Subbanna,	23-2-20	250	23-2-23.			
18.	" T.Shamanna Iyengar	8-11-20	200	8-11-20			
19.	" B.Ramanna,	5-7-21	200	5-7-21			
20	" G.Krishnamurti (s.p.t.)	8-9-21	200				

od on e basis of number of years of service after last increment.

2/3rdsf column round to the next No. of disible months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of Increment.	Extra Cost.
	550	1.2.24	50×4 = 200
	550	1.3.24	50×3 = 150
	500	1.8.23	50×10 = 500
			(-450)
	500	1.7.24?	0
		12.6.24?	850
			minus 450
		Net cost -	400

½ b column (increase of subj grades)

x 9	= 5	
½ x 7	= 4	
½ x 22	= 11	
½ x 0	= 0	

Subs grades

1.11.23	25×4 } 50×7 }	450	
		(-240)	
5.24	25×10 } 50×1 }	300	
11.23	25×7 =	175	
7.24	50×11 =	550	
		(-450)	
1.7.24	50×11 =	550	
		(-450)	
1.11.23	25×7 =	175	
1.1.24	25×5 =	125	
1.5.24		25	
1.7.24	50×11 =	550	
		(-475)	
1.9.23	25×2 } 50×9 }	500	
		(-312)	
1.5.24	25×10 } 50×1 }	300	
1.7.24	25×11 =	275	
1.7.24	25×11 =	275	
1.1.24	25×5 =	125	

? x 29	= 20	375
x 20	= 14	375
x 10	= 8	350
? x 34	= 24	350
? x 34	= 24	350
x 10	= 8	300
⅓ x 9	= 6	300
⅓ x 1	= 2	300
⅔ x 34	= 24	300
⅔ x 31	= 22	275
⅔ x 20	= 14	275
⅔ x 18	= 12	275
⅔ x 18	= 12	275
⅔ x 8	= 6	250

the basis of number of years of service after confirmation. Pay calcula

olumn the next No. le months.	Proposed pay on 1-7-23.	Date of next increment.	Extra Cost.	Period of completed service.
umn a subjudges)				y m d.
33 = 17	550	1.2.24	5 x 4 = 200.	0-9-25
x 31 = 16	550			0-7-5
x 22 = 11				1-10-0.
x 0 = 0				0-0-19
				y m d.
				2-5-25
				1-8-0.
				0-10-9
				2-10-5
				2-10-3
				0-10-20
				0-9-29
				0-1-7
				2-10-17
				2-7-8
				1-8-10
				1-6-12
				1-6-10
				0-9-0

Sl No		Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay.	Starting pay and cost if pay is fixed calculated of last increment.	No of yrs of comple-ted ser-vice	Increment to be given and dated from which it has effect. after last increment.
19.	Mr G. Narayana Rao	16-1-18	300	16-7-21	1-11½		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 16-7-23
20.	"M. Seshadri	16-2-19	300	16-2-22	1-4½		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 16-2-24
21.	" P.H. Krishna Rao	do	300	16-2-22	do		do
22.	" N. Sundarajiengar	do	300	16-2-22	do		do
23.	" T.V.A. Iswaran	do	300	16-2-22	do		do
24.	" M. Chamaraj Urs	do	300	16-2-22	do		do
25.	" T. Abdul Khader	do	300	16-2-22	do		do
26.	" M.A. Srinivasan	18-1-20	300	18-1-23	0-5		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 1-7-24
27.	" S. Narayana Rao	do	300	18-1-23	do		do
28.	" D.U. Subrayappa	21-1-20	300	21-1-23	do		do
29.	" M. Abdul Hukh	do	300	21-1-23	do		do
30.	" J. Appaji Gowda	do	300	21-1-23	do		do
31.	" M. Navanithan Naidu	21-1-20	300	21-1-23	0-5		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 1-7-24
32.	" M. Viraraj Urs	21-1-20	300	21-1-23	0-5		do
33.	" S. Ruben (P. P. Asst. Comm.)	9-2-20	300	9-2-23	0-4½		do
34.	" S.N. Srinarasimha Sastry.	do	do	do	do		do
35.	" M.S. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.	"	"	"	"		"
36.	" T. Hanumantha Rao	"	"	"	"		"
37.	" R.A. Narasimha Iyengar	"	"	"	"		"
38.	" C. Lakshmana Rao	"	"	"	"		"
39.	" Abdul Aziz Khan	11-5-20	300	11-5-23	0-1½		"
40.	" B.S. Raghavendra Rao	8-1-21	250	8-1-21	2-5½		50 from 1-7-23 75 from 8-1-24
41.	" V. Vedavyasacharya	do	do	do	do		do
42.	" T. Ramaiya	do	do	do	do		do
43.	" G. Sundara Rao	2-7-21	do	2-7-21	1-11-29		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 2-7-23
44.	" E.V. Ganapati Iyer	19-1-22	do	19-1-22	1-5⅓		25 from 1-7-23 50 from 19-1-2

Commissions

(A)

Initial rom date		Starting pay and cost if starting pay is fixed, calculated from date of confirmation.				
Pay to which he will be promoted	Extra cost.	No of yrs of complemented service.	Increment due	Pay to which he will be promoted from 1-7-1923	Extra cost.	Remarks.
325 350	537½ - 225	5	75	325 ~~325~~ 400 from 16-1-24	825 ~~550~~ +112	Mr. G. Narayana Rao has been told that he would get his next promotion from 16-1-24. He may therefore be given 50 from 1-7-23 and 75 from 16-1-24. Extra cost will be 662½ instead of 537½ (addn of 125)
325 350	362½	4	50	350 375 from 16-1-24	550 +87	
do	362½	4	do	do	550 +87	
do	362½	4	do	do	550 +87	
do	362½	4	do	do	550 +87	
do	362½	4	do	do	550 +87	
do	362½	4	do	do	550 +87	
325 350	275	3	25	325 350 from 18-1-24	275 +110	
do	do	3	do	do	275 +110	
do	do	3	do	325 350 from 21-1-24	275 +108	
do	do	3	do	do	275 +108	
do	do	3	do	do	275 +108	
325 350	275	3	25	~~325~~ do	275 +108	
do	275	do	do	do	275 +108	
do	do	do	do	325 350 from 9-2-24	275 +92	
do	do	do	do	do	do	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	325 350 from 11-2-24	275 +16	
300 325	668¾ - 238½	2	50	300 325 from 8-1-24	550 +118 ¾	
do	668¾ - 238½	do	do	do	550 +118 ¾	
do	668¾ - 238½	do	do	do	550 +118 ¾	
275 300	549	1	25	275 300 from 2-7-23	275 +274	
275 300	383	1	do	275 300 from 19-1-24	275 +108	

Commissioners

(A)

nitial om date		Starting pay and cost if starting pay is fixed. calculated from date of confirmation.				Remarks
Pay to which he aswill be promoted	Extra cost.	No of yrs of completed service.	Increment due	Pay to which he will be promoted from 1-7-1929	Extra cost.	
275 300	383	1	25	275 + 300 from 19.1.24	275 + 108 = 383	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	"	"	

18542 - 1615
= 16927 (16,927)

(+ 27240 - 1615
= 20835 + 4790
27240 - 1615
= 25,625 (25,625)

Sl No	Name	Date of confirmation (250)	Present pay	Date of promotion to present pay	Starting pay and cost if pay is fixed calculated f of last increment.	
					No of yrs of completed service after last increment	Increment to b given and date from which it effect.
45.	Mr. Ismail Sheriff	19-1-22	250	19-1-22	1-5 1/3	25 from 1-7-23 50 from 19-1-2
46.	" K. Subba Rao	"	"	"	"	"
47.	" M. Shamanna	"	"	"	"	"
48.	" K. Guru Dutt	"	"	"	"	"
49.	" Charles Noronha	"	"	"	"	"
50.	" R.P. Mallappa	"	"	"	"	"
51.	" R. Puttaraj Urs	"	"	"	"	"
52.	" C.M.H. Ranajodh Singh, B.A.	"	"	"	"	"
53.	" P. Suryanarayana Setti.	"	"	"	"	"

Annexure-

A

rganization ed from	Cost, if revised pay is calculated from date of confirmation.				Remarks.
Extra Cost.	No. of years of completed service since confirmation.	Net increment due.	Pay to which he will be promoted from 1st July 1923.	Extra Cost.	
550	2	100-50 = 50	600	550	
550	2	100-50 = 50	600	550	
1000-450	1	50	550 + 600 from 31.8.23.	550 + 450.	
550			500 550 from 12-6-24	[illegible]	
2650-450 = 2200.				1650 +450	2100 - 450 = 1650.
665-240	11	275-150 = 125	450	1100	
450	10	250-150 = 100	450	1100	
275	9	225-150 = 75	425 + 450 from 21-8-23	825 + 233	
775-450	8	200-100 = 100	400 + 425 from 26.8.23	1100 + 228	
775-450	8	200-100 = 100	400 + 425 from 28.8.23	1100 + 216	
275	6	150-100 = 50	350 + 395 from 10.8.23	550 + 241	
2[illegible]	6	150-100 = 50	350 + 375 from 2.9.23	550 + 224	
275	6	150-100 = 50	350 + 375 from 24.5.24	550 + 6	
787-475	5	125-50 = 75	325 + 350 from 14.8.23	825 + 238	
706-312	5	125-50 = 75	325 + 350 from 28.11.23	825 + 158	
458	4	100-50 = 50	300 + 325 from 21.10.2[illegible]	550 + 183	
408	4	100-50 = 50	300 + 325 from 19-12-2[illegible]	550 + 133	

Sd- Judges

B

Sl. No.	Name.	Date of confirmation.	Present pay.	Date of promotion to present pay.	No. of years of completed service after last increment.	Starting pay and cost of if starting pay is calcu date of last increment. Increment to b given and dat from which it has effect. Increment	Dat
	SUB JUDGES (4)				Y. M.		
1.	Mr. G.Satyaji Rao	6-9-20	550	6-9-22	0-10	50 ..	1-7-2
2.	" S.Venkatakrishnaiya	26-11-20	550	26-11-22	0- 7	50 ..	do.
3.	" P.Mahadevayya.,	31-8-21.	500	31-8-21.	1-10	50 ..	1-7-2
						100 ..	31-8-2
4.	" A.Srinivasaraghavachar..	12-6-23	500	12-6-23.	0-0-18	50 ..	1-7-2
						100 ..	1-7-2
	MUNSIFFS.	(Confirmation on Rs.200)					
1.	Mr. B.Ranga Iyengar.	5-1-12	350	5-1-21.	2-6-	50 ..	1-7-2
						75 ..	5-1-2
2.	" D.Alasingrachar	1-11-12	350	1-11-21	1-8	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	1-11-
3.	" N.G.Lakshminarasimha Iyengar.	21-8-13.	350	21-8-22.	0-10	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	1-7-2
4.	" N.K.Srinivasa Rao	26-8-14.	300	26-8-20.	2-10	50 ..	1-7-2
						75 ..	26-8-2
5.	" H.M.Krishnaswami	28-8-14	300	28-8-20	2-10.	50 ..	1-7-2
						75 ..	28-8-2
6.	" H.K.Veerabasaviya	10-8-16	300	10-8-22	0-10 2/3	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	1-7-2
7.	" S.A.Vaideeswara Iyer,	2-9-16	300	2-9-22	0-10	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	1-7-2
8.	" C. Abdul Ghani,	24-5-17	300	24-5-23	0- 1	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	1-7-2
9.	" S.R.Ramaswami Iyer	14-8-17	250	14-8-20	2-10	50 ..	1-7-2
						75 ..	14-8-2
10.	" T.Singaravelu Mudaliar.	23-11-17	250	23-11-20	2-7	50 ..	1-7-2
						75 ..	23-11-
11.	Mr.C.B.Nagabhushana Rao.	21-10-18	250	21-10-21	1--8	25 ..	1-7-2
						50 ..	21-10-
12	" S.Venkataranga Iyengar	19-12-18	250	19-12-21	1--6	25 ..	1-7-2

13.	" C.Subramanya Iyer	21-12-18	250	21-12-21	1--6	25 50	..1-7-2 ..21-12-
14.	" S.Ramachandra Sastry.	1-11-19	250	1-11-22	0--8	25 50	..1-7-2 ..1-7-2
15.	" H. Nanjundiah	17-11-19	250	17-11-22.	0--7	- do.	
16	" A.Sandararaja Rao	20-12-19	250	20-12-22	0--6	- do.	
17.	" M.N.Subbanna,	23-2-20	250	23-2-23.	0--4	- do.	
18.	" T.Shamaiya Iyengar	8-11-20	200	8-11-20	2--7 2/3	50 75	from 1-.. .. 8-11-2
19.	" B.Ramanna,	5-7-21	200	5-7-21	1--10-26	25 50	.. 1-7-2 .. 5-7-2
20	" G.Krishnamurti (s.p.t.)	8-9-21 (S.P.T)	200				

Grand Total R

408	4	100-50 = 50	300 ✓ 325 from 21.12.23	550 +132
275	3	75-50 = 25	275 × 300 from 1.11.23	275 +175
275	3	75-50 = 25	275 × 300 from 17.11.23.	275 + 162
275	3	75-50 = 25	275 × 300 from 20.12.23	275 +133
275	3	75-50 = 25	275 × 300 from 23.224	275 +81
718-332	2	50- 0 = 50	250 × 275 from 8.11.23	550 + 168
5~~4~~7	1	50 15	225 × 250 from 5.7.23	5~~4~~7

~~8,897~~ -2259
= 6638

~~1,5A7-2709=8838~~

6638
2200
8838

---

s. 12,378+2721
= 15,098 - 2259 = 12,837

---

s. 14,025-2700
= 1,376

12,837
1650
14,487

18 Sept 95

Dear Mr Srinivasiengar,

The calculation
marked B with the state-
ment are on the bases
of your last suggestion
Please see nos. 38 to 39 &
compare with no. 40.
My difficulty was that
a man with 1½ marks

remit under the
head of an increment
which is one with 1 year
11½ months owed also pet-
ty increment. Please
see 39 819

yours sincerely
Charles